

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/713,307 | 11/14/2003 | Hitesh Windlass | 42P16665 | 1224 | |
| 8791 | 7590 - 12/05/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN | | | WILCZEWSKI, MARY A | | |
| 12400 WILS: SEVENTH F | HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 | | | 2822 | | |
| | | · | DATE MAILED: 12/05/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/713,307 | WINDLASS ET AL. | WINDLASS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | M. Wilczewski | 2822 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addre | ess | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versility of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO . cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | , | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Section</u> | eptember 2006 | | | | | | |
| — | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | - | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | r eraskari raquirarii erik. | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>November 14, 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | • • • | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO- | 152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | received in this National Sta | age | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| · aper rio(s)/ivian Date | 0) Other | · | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/713,307

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group II, claims 1-21, in the reply filed on September 5, 2006, is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cook, Pub. No. 2002/0181072.

Cook et al. disclose forming a polymer material on a substrate, see figure 20.

The polymer material contains particles of a material which have one or more domains, see paragraphs [0005], [0009], [0011]-[0012], which can be aligned, see paragraph [0014]. Cook discloses that it is desirable to reduce the number of domains in a particle by heating the material to above the Curie temperature of the material and applying an electric field, and allowing the particles to cool, see paragraph [0019]. This also aligns the particles and cooling the material while maintaining the material while maintaining

the electric field "locks" the particles in their aligned state, see paragraphs [0085]-

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook, Pub. No. 2002/0181072, as applied to claims 1, 6, and 12 above, further in view Weiner, U.S. Patent 3,490,050.

Cook is applied as above. Cook lacks anticipation of the apparatus used to heat the material and the apparatus used to align the particles in the material. Weiner discloses a method in which particles are aligned using an electric field, see Summary of the Invention. The apparatus used to practice the method of Weiner is shown in figure 1. The apparatus includes a heating chamber in which the material and substrate are disposed and capacitor plates 18 and 19 for inducing an electric field. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the apparatus disclosed by Weiner in the known method of Cook to heat the polymer material and align the particles in the polymer material, since Weiner discloses this as a use of his apparatus. Weiner does not disclose the claimed strength of the electric field, see column 3, lines 38-43. However, in any case, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded

Art Unit: 2822

by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose the particular claimed electric field because applicant has not disclosed that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another electric field. Moreover, it has been held that limitations directed to processing parameters such as electric field strength are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical.

Claims 3, 10, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook, Pub. No. 2002/0181072, as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, further in view of Szmanda et al., Pub. No. 2004/0131862

Claims 5, 7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook, Pub. No. 2002/0181072, further in view Weiner, U.S. Patent 3,490,050, as applied to claims 4 and 15 above, further in view of Szmanda et al., Pub. No. 2004/0131862.

Neither Cook nor Weiner disclose that the polymer material comprises poly(vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene. However, Cook does disclose that the material of the particles can comprise a ferroelectric polymer, see paragraphs [0001]-[0002] and [0077]. Vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene is a well-known ferroelectric polymer, see paragraphs [0004], [0013]-[0016] of the Szmanda et al. Patent. Szmanda et al. teach that domains of vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene polymers can be aligned

Art Unit: 2822

using an electric field, see paragraphs [00031] and [0033]. Szmanda et al. also disclose the Curie temperature of ferroelectric polymers, see paragraph [0034]. Szmanda et al. also teach an annealing treatment for ferroelectric polymers that includes heating the polymer material above the Curie temperature for 1 minute to 12 hours, see paragraph [0036]. In light of the teachings of Szmanda et al. it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that a vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene polymer could be used in the known method of Cook, since vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene polymers are well known polymers in the art which can be given a permanent electric polarization using an electric field, as used in the known method of Cook. In addition, Cook has disclosed that his method is applicable to ferroelectric polymers. Given the use of the ferroelectric polymer vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene in the known process of Cook, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the processing parameters disclosed by Szmanda et al. could be used in the process of Cook.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited references disclose the alignment of domains in ferroelectric polymers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,307

Art Unit: 2822

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner Tech Center 2800